

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/433,429	11/04/1999	SHAUN A. KIRKPATRICK	11160	2571
7:	590 01/06/2003	•		
LEOPOLD PRESSER SCULLY SCOTT MURPHY & PRESSER 400 GARDEN CITY PLAZA GARDEN CITY, NY 11530			EXAMINER	
		·	KATCHEVES, KONSTANTINA T	
GARDEN CIT	Y, NY 11530		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
		•	1636	10
			DATE MAILED: 01/06/2003	19

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/433,429	KIRKPATRICK, SHAUN A.	
Autisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Konstantina Katcheves	1636	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
THE REPLY FILED FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPL Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to av final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	a timely filed amendment which	ation. A proper reply to a places the application in	ed
	PLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 6 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of t (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offic timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CFI fextension and the corresponding amonhe shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection.  RE FINAL REJECTION. See MPI  R 1.136(a) and the appropriate exumption of the fee. The appropriate expriginally set in the final Office ac	EP ktension xtension tion: or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>09 December 2002</u> . 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of	vithin the period set forth in f the appeal.	1
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	cause:		
(a) They raise new issues that would require furthe	·	see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note be	•		
<ul><li>(c)       they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or</li></ul>	better form for appeal by mater	rially reducing or simplifying	) the
(d) they present additional claims without canceling	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .			
<ol><li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection</li></ol>	on(s):		
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be canceling the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	pe allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed amendr	nent
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:	reconsideration has been consid 	dered but does NOT place	the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered beca raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	use it is not directed SOLELY to	issues which were newly	
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment( explanation of how the new or amended claims wo	s) a) $oxtimes$ will not be entered or b) $oxtimes$ uld be rejected is provided belov	☐ will be entered and an w or appended.	
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>18-20, 25-31</u> .		•	
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. $\square$ The proposed drawing correction filed on $\_\_\_$ is a	ı)□ approved or b)□ disappr	oved by the Examiner.	
<ol><li>Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement</li></ol>	t(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)		
0. Other:		REMYYUCEL, PH.D	
	SUP	REMY YUCEL, PH.D ERVISORY PATENT EXAMINE ECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600	R

## Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)



Continuation of 2. NOTE: Applicant's proposed amendment fails to overcome the pending rejection of claims 29-31 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a). Applicant asserts that none of the references teach the limitation that the present invention provides for an "immunologically privileged site" in vivo. Applicant is claiming a composition not a method. According to MPEP 2112, inherency issues arise both in the context of anticipation and obviousness. Thus, since the composition is obvious over the prior art the functional limitation claimed by applicant would be inherent and does not patentably distinguish the present claims over the prior art. If indeed this characteristic is not inherent in the subject matter as shown in the prior art under either 35 USC 102 or 103, Applicant must show this. See In re Fitzgerald, 619 F.2d 67, 205 USPQ 594 (CCPA 1980).